1 Mark C. Fields (#100668) Law Offices of Mark C. Fields, APC 333 So. Hope Street, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Tel: (213) 617-5225 Fax: (213)629-4520 3 Email: fields@markfieldslaw.com Attorney for Defendant Angelo Ferrara 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 9 10 Case No. 2:16-cv-02129-SJO-RAO CORY SPENCER, an individual; 11 DIANA MILENA REED, an individual; and COASTAL Assigned to District Judge: 12 PROTECTION RANGERS, INC., a Hon. S. James Otero; Courtroom: 10C @ 350 W. First Street, L.A., CA 90012 13 California non-profit public benefit corporation; Plaintiffs, Assigned Discovery: 14 Magistrate Judge: Hon. Rozella A. Oliver v. 15 LUNADA BAY BOYS; THE **DEFENDANT ANGELO FERRARA'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS'** INDIVIDUAL MEMBÉRS OF THE **OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR** LUNADA BAY BOYS, including but not limited to SANG LÉE, BRANT PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT 17 BLAKEMAN, ALAN JOHNSTON aka September 5, 2017 JALIAN JOHNSTON. MICHAEL Date: RAE PAPAYANS, ANGELO Time: 10:00 a.m. FERRARA, FRANK FERRARA, CHARLIE FERRARA, and N.F.; CITY 10C; Hon. S. JAMES OTERO Ctrm: OF PALOS VERDES ÉSTATES Complaint Filed: March 29, 2016 20 November 7, 2017 CHIEF OF POLICE JEFF KEPLEY, in Trial: his representative capacity; and DOES 1 21 - 10. 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEFENDANT ANGELO FERRARA'S REPLY TO

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

TO THE PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendant Angelo Ferrara ("Angelo") hereby respectfully submits the following Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Individual Defendants' Motions For Summary Judgment. (Docket # 328).

Angelo joins in the Replies filed or to be filed by all Defendants to Plaintiffs' Oppositions to the Motions For Summary Judgment, Partial Summary Judgment, and/or Summary Adjudication filed or to be filed by all the Defendants in this lawsuit. *Vasquez v. Central States Joint Bd.*, 447 F. Supp.2d 833, 867 (N.D. Ill. 2008).

Based on the history of this case, other Defendants will brief the issues so thoroughly that Angelo does not believe it will be helpful or necessary to add to the mountain of paper that continues to accumulate in the case, so this Reply will be extremely brief and will attempt simply to provide some overall perspective.

Plaintiffs' Statement Of Genuine Disputes Of Material Fact pertaining to Angelo (Docket # 340) ("Plaintiffs' Statement Re Angelo") confirms that there is no competent evidence of Angelo engaging in any wrongful behavior. Plaintiffs' Statement Re Angelo engages in semantic quibbling, such as Plaintiff's can't recall meeting Angelo versus Plaintiff's never meeting Angelo. I don't recall meeting versus I didn't meet is a distinction without a difference with respect to the determination of Angelo's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment.

If there were an Olympic sport of throwing spaghetti against a wall to see what would stick, Plaintiffs would take home the gold. For instance, the so-called Expert Declaration Of Peter Neushall (Docket # 380) informs the Court that 58% of African-Americans children do not know how to swim compared to 31% of white children. (Docket #380, p. 4, ll. 24 - 27. OK, what's the Court supposed to do with that factoid?

Plaintiffs' strategy is to bury the Court with endless declarations and exhibits.

Plaintiffs' have submitted Plaintiffs' Additional Material Facts pertaining to all the Individual Defendants (Docket # 329). Plaintiffs to a large extent seem to be attempting to relitigate their (denied) Motion For Class Certification. Plaintiffs have unleashed a tsunami of paper, but to what point? What does it add up to? Blakeman is rude?; Johnston a jerk? And none of it pertains to Angelo, who stands accused of surfing at Lunada Bay for fifty years without a single incident or act of wrongful conduct being perpetrated by him, who just wants to live his life and fix cars at his auto body shop rather than being engulfed in this maelstrom of an overpled and overblown federal case, with maritime jurisdiction established by a thread.

11 Dated: August 17, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF MARK C. FIELDS, APC

Ву

Mark C. Fields

Attorneys for Defendant

Angelo Ferrara

3 DEFENDANT ANGELO FERRARA'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT